MARK SCHEME for the May/June 2009 question paper

for the guidance of teachers

0470 HISTORY

0470/04

Paper 4 (Alternative to Coursework), maximum raw mark 40

This mark scheme is published as an aid to teachers and candidates, to indicate the requirements of the examination. It shows the basis on which Examiners were instructed to award marks. It does not indicate the details of the discussions that took place at an Examiners' meeting before marking began, which would have considered the acceptability of alternative answers.

Mark schemes must be read in conjunction with the question papers and the report on the examination.

• CIE will not enter into discussions or correspondence in connection with these mark schemes.

CIE is publishing the mark schemes for the May/June 2009 question papers for most IGCSE, GCE Advanced Level and Advanced Subsidiary Level syllabuses and some Ordinary Level syllabuses.



Page 2	Mark Scheme: Teachers' version	Syllabus	Paper
	IGCSE – May/June 2009	0470	04

Depth Study A: Germany, 1918–1945

- **1** (a) (i) Level 1 Repeats material stated in source, no inference made. [1–2]
 - Level 2 Makes inference(s), unsupported from source e.g. They were determined to oppose the Nazis etc. [3–4]
 - Level 3 Makes valid inferences, supported from the source e.g. Sought to oppose the terror tactics of the Nazis by united action in strikes by the rest of the community, wanted action on the streets and to bring industry to a halt etc. [5–6]
 - (ii) Level 1 Agrees OR disagrees, unsupported from the source. [1–2]
 - Level 2 Agrees OR disagrees, supported from the source e.g.

Yes, the source states that they targeted farmers and youth etc.

No, the SDP offered their members support by way of newspapers, libraries, evening classes and sports teams. Source does not comment on Nazi success – it only says 'aimed' 'set out' etc. [3–5]

- Level 3 Agrees AND disagrees, supported from the source. Addresses the issue of 'How Far?' [6–7]
- (iii) Level 1 Useful/not useful Choice made on the basis that one is more detailed/gives more information, but does not specify what information. [1]
 - Level 2 Useful/not useful One is from a communist leaflet and the other is British so they could both be biased/unreliable. [2]
 - Level 3 Choice made on the nature or amount of information given. Must specify what information. [3–5]
 - Level 4 Choice made on the grounds of reliability. Discussion of utility must be made on valid evaluation of source in context. Include at this Level answers that cross-reference between A and B to show reliability. 6 marks for one source, 7 marks for both. [6–7]
- (b) (i) One mark for each valid factor to a maximum of two e.g. Single law providing the constitutional basis for Hitler's dictatorship, Only SDP voted against. Communists outlawed by this time. Act renewed in 1937, 1939 and 1943. [1–2]
 - (ii) Level 1 Identifies aspects e.g. Fire dominated the election campaign. [1–2]
 - Level 2 Develops aspects. Award an extra mark for each aspect described in additional detail e.g. Was it the work of Van der Lubbe or of the Nazis? Nazis banned Communists and Hindenburg signed 'Decree for protection of the people and state'. Freedom of speech and assembly etc suspended. Thousands of communists. arrested. Election of March 5 1933 etc. [2–4]

	Syllabus	Paper
IGCSE – May/June 2009	0470	04
Single reason. One for the reason, one for t opposition in the Party etc.	the explanation e.g	. To get rid of [1–2]
The SA had become a threat to Hitler and SA for previous services. SA wanted to merge	leaders wanted pa with the Army wit	h Rohm at its
Simple assertions. No, Hitler was just a thug.		[1]
Explanation of weakness of Weimar OR other	r factors, single fac	tor given e.g.
had signed the Versailles Treat	y; November crimi	nals; death of
SA and terror tactics; targeting gr Manoeuvrings amongst politicians;	oups; opportunism no one else left to	in any crisis; ask in January
Explanation of weakness of Weimar OR of factors with multiple reasons.	other factors given	. Allow single
Undeveloped suggestions on BOTH sides o Balanced but Brief).	f the argument (ar	notate BBB – [3–5]
Answers that offer a balanced argument. BOTH sides of the weakness of the Weima must be addressed.	r Government ANE	0 other factors [6–8]
	 Single reason. One for the reason, one for the opposition in the Party etc. Multiple reasons. One for each reason, one The SA had become a threat to Hitler and SA for previous services. SA wanted to merge head. SA anti-capitalist and left wing. Remuloyalty of the army etc. Simple assertions. No, Hitler was just a thug. Explanation of weakness of Weimar OR othe Weimar – Constitutional problems created or had signed the Versailles Treated Stresemann and Great Depression Other – Hitler determined to achieve power SA and terror tactics; targeting gr Manoeuvrings amongst politicians; 1933; polarisation after Wall Stresenter by economic events etc. Explanation of weakness of Weimar OR of factors with multiple reasons. Undeveloped suggestions on BOTH sides of Balanced but Brief). Answers that offer a balanced argument. BOTH sides of the weakness of the Weimar 	 Single reason. One for the reason, one for the explanation e.g opposition in the Party etc. Multiple reasons. One for each reason, one for each reason The SA had become a threat to Hitler and SA leaders wanted particle for previous services. SA wanted to merge with the Army with head. SA anti-capitalist and left wing. Removal of SA would gloyalty of the army etc. Simple assertions. No, Hitler was just a thug. Explanation of weakness of Weimar OR other factors, single fact Weimar – Constitutional problems created coalitions, thus weat had signed the Versailles Treaty; November crimi Stresemann and Great Depression exacerbated weat Other – Hitler determined to achieve power, whatever system SA and terror tactics; targeting groups; opportunism Manoeuvrings amongst politicians; no one else left to 1933; polarisation after Wall Street Crash; Germa overtaken by economic events etc. Explanation of weakness of Weimar OR other factors given factors with multiple reasons. Undeveloped suggestions on BOTH sides of the argument (ar Balanced but Brief). Answers that offer a balanced argument. BOTH sides of the weakness of the Weimar Government ANE

Page 4	Mark Scheme: Teachers' version	Syllabus	Paper
	IGCSE – May/June 2009	0470	04

Depth Study B: Russia, 1905–1941

- **2** (a) (i) Level 1 Repeats material stated in source, no inference made. [1–2]
 - Level 2 Makes valid inference(s), unsupported from source e.g. There were shortages and people grumbled etc. [3–4]
 - Level 3 Supports valid inferences with reference to the source e.g. Shortages and queuing in bitter weather was creating complaints despite the normal good nature of the Russians; clearly, queuing implies shortages etc. [5–6]
 - (ii) Level 1 Agrees OR disagrees with no support from the source. [1–2]
 - Level 2 Agrees OR disagrees, supported from the source e.g.

Yes, two hour speech, an epic performance, audience cheered itself hoarse, determined to inspire despite physical state etc.

No, performance as leader must be impaired by state of collapse, should have made way for a fitter person, impact lasted two days etc. [3–5]

- Level 3 Agrees AND disagrees, supported from the source. Addresses the issue of 'How far?' [6–7]
- (iii) Level 1 Useful/not useful Choice made on the basis that one is more detailed/gives more information, but does not specify what information. [1]
 - Level 2 Useful/not useful One source is by an American, the other is British so they could both be biased/unreliable. [2]
 - Level 3 Choice made on the nature or amount of information given. Must specify what information. [3–5]
 - Level 4 Choice made on the grounds of reliability. Discussion of utility must be made on valid evaluation of source(s) in context. Include at this Level answers that cross-reference between A and B to show reliability. 6 marks for one source, 7 marks for both. [6–7]
- (b) (i) One mark for each valid aspect to a maximum of two e.g. Lenin returned from exile in a closed train. He urged that the Bolsheviks should cease support for the Provisional Government, all power should be given to the soviets and that Russia should withdraw from the war. Peace, bread, land' etc. [1–2]
 - (ii) Level 1 Identifies affair. Attempt by Kornilov, army C-in-C, to overthrow the Provisional Government. [1–2]
 - Level 2 Describes the affair. Award an extra mark for each valid factor that is described in additional detail e.g. Kornilov thought that the Bolsheviks were traitors as well as the Provisional Government. His soldiers mutinied, discipline broke down. Provisional Government armed the Bolsheviks. The coup failed; brief success for Kerensky but the Bolsheviks gained more popularity and were now armed. [2–4]

Page 5		Mark Scheme: Teachers' version	Syllabus	Paper
		IGCSE – May/June 2009	0470	04
(iii) Leve Leve		Single reason. One for the reason, one for the Multiple reasons. One for each reason, one Provisional Government had not dealt with the Life was getting worse, and the workers and unrest. Constant propaganda by Bolsheviks way out of the war. Organisation.	for each reason ne issues of war, la deserting soldiers	explained e.g. and, elections. continued the
(iv) Leve	el 1	Simple assertions Lenin, he was in charge.		[1]
Leve	el 2	Explanation of Lenin OR Trotsky, single facto	r given e.g.	
		Lenin – Leader, driving force, set politic respected him, speeches, War Com not in real control after strokes in 192	munism, early days	
		Trotsky – Admired by Lenin, organised coup for Brest-Litovsk, inspirational lead really trusted by colleagues as arrog	dership in Civil W	ar. But, never
Leve	el 3	Explanation of Lenin OR Trotsky, with mul factors with multiple reasons.	tiple factors given	. Allow single
С	DR	Undeveloped suggestions on BOTH sides o Balanced but Brief).	f the argument (ar	nnotate BBB – [3–5]
Leve	el 4	Answers that offer a balanced argument. BOTH sides of Lenin AND Trotsky must be ac	ddressed.	[6–8]

Page 6	Mark Scheme: Teachers' version	Syllabus	Paper
	IGCSE – May/June 2009	0470	04

Depth Study C: The USA, 1919–1941

- **3 (a) (i)** Level 1 Repeats material stated in source, no inference made. [1–2]
 - Level 2 Makes valid inference(s), unsupported from the source e.g. Feels largely impotent, all bound up in the culture and constitutional issues etc. [3–4]
 - Level 3 Makes valid inferences with reference to the source e.g. North/South divide incapacitates the President, can only be solved by education and cooperation at a local level etc. [5–6]
 - (ii) Level 1 Agrees OR disagrees, unsupported from the source. [1–2]
 - Level 2 Agrees OR disagrees, supported from the source e.g.

Yes, has made almost nothing for 10 to 15 years; landlord takes a substantial proportion of produce; has to supply seed, fertilizer and horses etc.

No, Still alive; hints of surplus of flour and rice; retains a goodly proportion of produce; Landlord provides his house, land and does the paperwork etc. [3–5]

- Level 3 Agrees AND disagrees, supported from the source. Addresses the issue of 'How far?' [6–7]
- (iii) Level 1 Useful/not useful Choice made on the basis that one is more detailed/gives more information, but does not specify what information. [1]
 - Level 2 Useful/not useful One source is from the President's wife, the other is from an unnamed interviewer so they could both be biased/unreliable. [2]
 - Level 3 Choice made on the nature or amount of information given. Must specify what information. [3–5]
 - Level 4 Choice made on the grounds of reliability. Discussion of utility must be made on valid evaluation of source(s) in context. Include at this Level answers that cross-reference between A and B to show reliability. 6 marks for one source, 7 marks for both. [6–7]
- (b) (i) One mark for each valid example to a maximum of two e.g. Property qualification/poll tax needed to vote; literacy tests needed; Jim Crow laws; intimidation etc. [1–2]
 - (ii) Level 1 Identifies aspects. To stabilise costs of manufacture and improve conditions of labour. [1–2]
 - Level 2 Develops aspects. Award an extra mark for each aspect described in additional detail e.g. Industrial codes drawn up by employers and workers; by 1941 2.5m firms and 22m workers covered by Blue Eagle; wiped out child labour; only voluntary, many firms violated agreements etc. [2–4]

047004e for the explanation.[1–2]	CSE – May/June 2009 ason. One for the reason, one for t		
e for the explanation. [1–2]	ason. One for the reason. one for t		
	···· , · · · · · , · · · ·	i) Level 1 S	(iii) Lev
on, one for each reason explained e.g. Court weakened some agencies; lack of vestment; cut in Government funding in culture and discrimination etc. [2–6]	ent aid insufficient; Supreme Court	G d	Lev
[1]	ssertions. t of people got jobs.		(iv) Lev
ects, single factor given e.g.	ion of economic OR social effects,	Level 2 E	Lev
to industry created jobs; restoring UT unemployment rose after 1938; still sure did not solve farm unemployment; h unresolved.	onfidence created demand BUT u	E	
racial discrimination or women's rights; v amenities, education, workers' rights, [2]		S	
fects, with multiple factors. Allow single	ion of economic OR social effects, ith multiple reasons.		Lev
des of the argument (annotate BBB – [3–5]	oped assertions on BOTH sides of but Brief)		
	that offer a balanced argument. des of economic AND social effects		Lev

	Ра	ge 8		Mark Scheme: Teachers' version	Syllabus	Paper
				IGCSE – May/June 2009	0470	04
				Depth Study D: China, 1945–c.199	0	
4	(a)	(i)	Level 1	Repeats material stated in source, no inferen	ce made.	[1–2]
			Level 2	Makes valid inference(s), unsupported from life and family loyalties etc.	the source e.g. De	estroyed family [3–4]
			Level 3	Supports valid inferences with reference to unity and loyalties with the young disowning a		
		(ii)	Level 1	Agrees OR disagrees with no support from th	e source.	[1–2]
			Level 2	Agrees OR disagrees, supported from the so	urce e.g.	
				Yes, Mao was appointed head of army and enemies arrested etc.	state, army runnin	ig the country,
				No, Red Guards disbanded, sent to countrysi	de, schools re-ope	ned etc. [3–5]
			Level 3	Agrees AND disagrees, supported from the 'How far?'	source. Addresse	s the issue of [6–7]
		(iii)	Level 1	Useful/not useful – Choice made on the basi more information, but does not specify what in		detailed/gives [1]
			Level 2	Useful/not useful – One is from a Chinese w so they could both be biased/unreliable.	oman, the other is	from America [2]
			Level 3	Choice made on the nature or amount of info information.	ormation given. Mus	st specify what [3–5]
			Level 4	Choice made on the grounds of reliability. Discussion of utility must be made on valid e Include at this Level answers that cross-refe reliability.		
				6 marks for one source, 7 marks for both.		[6–7]
	(b)	(i)		each valid example to a maximum of two e.g. T s, local party officials etc.	eachers, intellectua	als, managers, [1–2]
		(ii)	Level 1	Identifies book. Collection of Mao's philosoph	ical and political the	oughts. [1–2]
			Level 2	Describes book. Award an extra mark for additional detail e.g. Carried by Red Gua authority to commit humiliation, denunciation	ards, acted as a	passport and

(iii) Level 1 Single reason. One for the reason, one for the explanation. [1–2]

etc.

Level 2 Multiple reasons. One for each reason, one for each reason explained e.g. To re-establish his control and power base in the Party, to re-energise the Marxist-Leninist revolution, to attack the 'rightists' and the 'capitalist roaders', to renew revolutionary fervour etc. [2–6]

[2–4]

Page 9	Mark Scheme: Teachers' version	Syllabus	Paper	
	IGCSE – May/June 2009	0470	04	

(iv) Level 1 Simple assertions. Yes, they are all wealthy now.

[1]

- Level 2 Explanation of benefit OR lack of improvement, single factors given e.g.
 - Benefit Deng's reforms and more economic, financial and commercial contact with all parts of the world have made China richer. Political contacts have made China a major force. Increased unemployment and fewer restrictive practices have made many Chinese wealthy.
 - Lack Mao's regime settled the land issue and improved measures for women, health and education. Many Chinese still in poverty and fear of victimisation – fewer purges except directly after Tiananmen Square, CCP still very much in control etc. [2]
- Level 3 Explanation of benefit OR lack of improvement with multiple factors given. Allow single factors with multiple reasons.
 - **OR** Undeveloped suggestions on BOTH sides of the argument (annotate BBB Balanced but Brief). [3–5]
- Level 4 Answers that offer a balanced argument. BOTH sides of benefit AND lack of improvement must be addressed. [6–8]

Page 10	Mark Scheme: Teachers' version	Syllabus	Paper	
	IGCSE – May/June 2009	0470	04	

Depth Study E: Southern Africa in the Twentieth Century

- **5** (a) (i) Level 1 Repeats material stated in the source, no inference made. [1–2]
 - Level 2 Makes valid inference(s) unsupported from the source e.g. Important person in GB and in South Africa, has firm views, racist etc. [3–4]
 - Level 3 Makes valid inferences with reference to the source e.g. Important because he has many Ministries under him, lecturing in Britain, racist as seen 'natives' as second class and wants no mixing of blood lines etc. [5–6]
 - (ii) Level 1 Agrees OR disagrees, unsupported from the source. [1–2]
 - Level 2 Agrees OR disagrees, supported from the source e.g.

Yes, less discriminated against than other non-whites; formed protest group; strikes; government willing to negotiate and concede demands; reform of voting rights still only a proposal.

No, still do not have the same rights as whites; no power in Orange Free State; further restricted in Transvaal; have to ask the government to improve their rights etc. [3–5]

- Level 3 Agrees AND disagrees, supported from the source. Addresses the issue of 'How far?' [6–7]
- (iii) Level 1 Useful/not useful Choice made on the basis that one is more detailed/gives more information, but does not specify what information. [1]
 - Level 2 Useful/not useful One source is from General Smuts and the other is British so they could both be biased/unreliable. [2]
 - Level 3 Choice made on the nature or amount of information given. Must specify what information. [3–5]
 - Level 4 Choice made on the grounds of reliability. Discussion of utility must be based on valid evaluation of source(s) in context. Include at this Level answers that cross-reference between A and B to show reliability. 6 marks for one source, 7 marks for both. [6–7]
- (b) (i) One mark for each valid aspect to a maximum of two e.g. Founded in 1912 to protest against the Natives Land Act. Seme, treasurer; Sol Plaatje, secretary; Dube, president; Rubusana, vice-president. Renamed ANC in 1923.
 - (ii) Level 1 Identifies aspects. Legislation to maintain the superiority of whites. [1–2]
 - Level 2 Describes aspects. Award an extra mark for each aspect described in additional detail e.g. Only menial jobs for non-white; pay fixed at a small percentage of whites' wages; legalised what was effectively a colour bar in Transvaal and Orange Free State; meant loss of jobs for skilled blacks etc. [2–4]

e 11	Mark Scheme: Teachers' version	Syllabus	Paper
	IGCSE – May/June 2009	0470	04
(iii) Level 1	Single reason. One for the reason, one for th	e reason explained.	[1-2
Level 2	Multiple reasons. One for each reason, one stop Africans purchasing or leasing land fro the halves'; to restrict black farming to the ensure cheap labour on white farms and farmers loyal to the government etc.	om the whites; to er reserves (c. 7% of	nd farming 'c the Union); f
(iv) Level 1	Simple assertions. Yes, they appear to control everything.		[
Level 2	Explanation of success OR lack of success,	single factor given e	.g.
	Yes, Government restriction effective; land reserves in poorly paid jobs; limited educat rights from non-whites; improving economy l opposition was too divided or moderate.	tion and withdrawal	of any votin
	No, Black opposition; SANNC founded in Industrial and Commercial Workers' Union before it collapsed in 1930); black women successful –dropped for women in 1920; I Indian Congress continued; opposition to successful after 1948.	more popular (100 's Anti-Pass Law d blacks setting up o	000 membe emonstratior wn Churche
Level 3	Explanation of success OR lack of success, single factors with multiple reasons.	with multiple factor	s given. Allo
	Undeveloped suggestions on BOTH sides of Balanced but Brief).	of the argument (an	
OR	Dalanced but Dherj.		[3–

	Pa	ge 12	2	Mark Scheme: Teachers' version	Syllabus	Paper
				IGCSE – May/June 2009	0470	04
				Depth Study F: Israelis and Palestinians, 1	945–c.1994	
6	(a)	(i)	Level 1	Repeats material stated in source, no inferen	ice made.	[1–2]
			Level 2	Makes inference(s), unsupported from the wishing to destroy the state etc.	e source e.g. Con	tempt, hatred, [3–4]
			Level 3	Makes valid inferences with reference to the Arabs is to live to see the state of Israel des criminal class by referring to 'gangs' etc.	0,	
		(ii)	Level 1	Agrees OR disagrees, unsupported from the	source.	[1–2]
			Level 2	Agrees OR disagrees, supported from the so	ource e.g.	
				Yes, writer calls it a disaster; it was a weak make ourselves clear; propaganda talked Na		•
				No, it was strength and got Arab support behi what he meant; implies he would not have to t		
			Level 3	Agrees AND disagrees, supported from the 'How far?'	e source. Addresse	es the issue of [6–7]
		(iii)	Level 1	Useful/not useful – Choice made on the detailed/gives more information, but does not		
			Level 2	Useful/not useful – One source is from ar American so they could both be biased/unrel		ner is from an [2]
			Level 3	Choice made on the nature or amount of info information.	ormation given. Mu	st specify what [3–5]
			Level 4	Choice made on the grounds of reliability. Discussion of utility must be made on valid e Include at this Level answers that cross-refe reliability.		()
				6 marks for one source, 7 marks for both.		[6–7]
	(b)	(i)	One ma	rk for each valid country to a maximum of two e	e.g. Syria, Jordan.	[1–2]
		(ii)	Level 1	Identifies aspects. A reason for Israel to decla	are war.	[1–2]
			Level 2	Describes aspects. Award an extra mark additional detail e.g. May 22, Nasser decla shipping, and ships of other nations trac declaration would be a declaration of war. The	ared the Straits cl ling with Israel. I he major powers tri	osed to Israeli srael said the ed to establish

a naval force, but no force or action was taken to implement promises made to Israel in 1957. It was the last straw for the Israelis who were better prepared [2–4]

for action.

Page 13		Mark Scheme: Teachers' version	Syllabus	Paper
		IGCSE – May/June 2009	0470	04
(iii) Leve	el 1 Si	ngle reasons. One for the reason, one for th	he explanation.	[1–2]
Leve	in cr ur ar	ultiple reasons. One for each reason, one for ternal problems with huge population increas iticised for the way he had restricted individu hite Egypt behind the one issue that woul nbitions to become the leader of the Aral insure his Arab leadership and unite Egypt be	se, heavy expenditu ual Egyptian's freed d unite – anti-Israe b world. A success	re on defence, oms. Hoped to el. Nasser had
(iv) Leve		mple assertions. o, they did not want to get involved.		[1]
Leve	el 2 Ex	xplanation of agreement OR disagreement,	single factors giver	n e.g.
	I	mp. Limited practical support for Israel from practical help declining; got weapon weapons from USSR – US weapons I states	ns from USA as o	did Arabs get
	O	ther Powers did not want to get too invo impact of war, oil production and p freedom fighters – al-Fatah and guer factors like poor Arab planning and policies were outside the control of oth	prices. Rise of the rilla warfare agains leadership, division	e independent it Israel. Other
Leve		xplanation of agreement OR disagreement ngle factors with multiple reasons.	with multiple factor	rs given. Allow
С		ndeveloped assertions on BOTH sides of alanced but Brief).	the argument (an	notate BBB – [3–5]
Leve		nswers that offer a balanced argument. OTH sides of agreement AND disagreemen	t must be addresse	d. [6–8]

Page 14	Mark Scheme: Teachers' version	Syllabus	Paper
	IGCSE – May/June 2009	0470	04

Depth Study G: The Creation of Modern Industrial Society

- 7 (a) (i) Level 1 Repeats material stated in source, no inference made. [1–2]
 - Level 2 Makes valid inference(s), unsupported from source e.g. It was beginning to make an impact on unskilled workers who were joining in droves etc. [3–4]
 - Level 3 Makes valid inferences, with reference to the source e.g. It offered unskilled workers support and a route out of their misery; Sharp rise in members all over the country; was shining example to all workers etc. [5–6]
 - (ii) Level 1 Agrees OR disagrees, unsupported from the source. [1–2]
 - Level 2 Agrees OR disagrees, supported from the source e.g.

Yes, author believes that trade unionism is in part Socialism in disguise; believes that Socialism is at the heart of the movement and will do irreparable harm; says trade unionism may have to 'go under' in the fight to rid the country of Socialism etc.

No, only one person's thoughts; believes that British workers are honest and do not understand the danger; trusts that the British worker will see through Socialism and its dangers; hints throughout that Socialism is only one part of the trade union movement etc. [3–5]

- Level 3 Agrees AND disagrees, supported from the source. Addresses the issue of 'How far?' [6–7]
- (iii) Level 1 Useful/not useful Choice made on the basis that one source is more detailed/gives more information, but does not specify what information. [1]
 - Level 2 Useful/not useful One is from an ex-union leader and the other is from a company official so they could both be biased/unreliable. [2]
 - Level 3 Choice made on the nature or amount of information given. Must specify what information. [3–5]
 - Level 4 Choice made on the grounds of reliability. Discussion of utility must be made on valid evaluation of source(s) in context. Include at this Level answers that cross-reference between A and B to show reliability. 6 marks for one source, 7 marks for both. [6–7]
- (b) (i) Award one mark for each valid aspect to a maximum of two e.g. The right for strikers to talk to other workers to try to persuade them to strike also; No intimidation allowed; granted by Disraeli in 1875.
 - (ii) Level 1 Identifies the Strike e.g. Probably the most important strike by unskilled workers in trade union history. [1–2]
 - Level 2 Describes the Strike. Award an extra mark for any aspect described in additional detail e.g. Well supported by dockers and gained public and international support, both morally and financially; outcomes for unskilled workers generally; mainly peaceful despite large rallies in public places; dynamic leadership etc. [2–4]

Page 15	Μ	ark Scheme: Teachers' version	Syllabus	Paper
		IGCSE – May/June 2009	0470	04
(iii) Leve	el 1 Sing	Single reasons. One mark for the reason, one mark for explanation.		
Leve	was effe den poo	Multiple reasons. One for each reason, one for each reason explained e.g. was thought that these workers were incapable of running a coherent union effective deterrents by employers; existing trade unions did not meet the demands of unskilled workers; finance – problems of calling strikes would pupoor workers' families in a dire position; lack of public awareness of how bar work and conditions were for unskilled workers etc. [2–6]		
(iv) Leve		Simple assertions. No, the bosses were still largely in control.		
Leve	el 2 Exp	Explanation of achievement OR lack of achievement, single factors given e.		
	Yes	Yes Legislation had secured their funds and given the right to strike picket. Political strength beginning to show. TUC founded in Increasing membership of skilled and unskilled unions etc.		
	No	Aims of unions changed over time and workers in unions; legislation and action there was still a long way to go; a patc second half of the nineteenth century e	ons in period 1900 hy picture but defin	-1914 showed
Leve		Explanation of achievement OR lack of achievement, with multiple factors given. Allow single factors with multiple reasons.		
C	OR Undeveloped suggestions on BOTH sides of the argument (annotate BBB Balanced but Brief). [3-4			nnotate BBB – [3–5]
Leve		wers that offer a balanced argument. TH sides of achievement AND lack of ach	ievement must be a	addressed. [6–8]

Page 16	Mark Scheme: Teachers' version	Syllabus	Paper
	IGCSE – May/June 2009	0470	04

Depth Study H: The Impact of Western Imperialism in the Nineteenth Century

- 8 (a) (i) Level 1 Repeat material stated in source, no inference made. [1-2]
 - Level 2 Makes valid inference(s), unsupported from source e.g. Britain dominated all aspects of trade and administration etc. [3–4]
 - Level 3 Makes valid inferences, with reference to the source e.g. Britain controlled the opium market, used sales from Lancashire's mills to make profit, and was largely in charge of the administration of the Chinese customs service etc. [5–6]
 - (ii) Level 1 Agrees OR disagrees, unsupported from source. [1–2]
 - Level 2 Agrees OR disagrees, supported from the source e.g.

Yes, C shows that the competition in production and in industrialisation in Britain has left the workers in Persia, India and China at a disadvantage so that the populations are unsettled.

No, B almost revels in the fact that the trade has opened limitless markets to British industry which will have to expand to meet demand etc. [3–5]

- Level 3 Agrees AND disagrees, supported from the source. Addresses the issue of 'How far?' [6–7]
- (iii) Level 1 Useful/not useful Choice made on the basis that one source is more detailed/gives more information, but does not specify what information. [1]
 - Level 2 Useful/not useful A is from a British book, B is from a diplomat and C is from a German so they could all be biased/unreliable. [2]
 - Level 3 Choice made on the nature or amount of information given. Must specify what information. [3–5]
 - Level 4 Choice made on the grounds of reliability. Discussion of utility must be made on valid evaluation of source(s) in context. Include at this Level answers that cross-reference between A, B and C to show reliability. 6 marks for one source, 7 marks for more than one source. [6–7]
- (b) (i) Award one mark for each relevant aspect to a maximum of two e.g. These were treaties forced on the Chinese at the conclusion of the two Opium Wars (1839-42 and 1858] which secured the opening of Chinese ports to British trade, and these were forced on a reluctant China. Candidates may give specific details. [1-2]
 - (ii) Level 1 Identifies wars. Wars between GB and China which left GB in control of much of Chinese commerce. [1–2]
 - Level 2 Describes wars. Award an extra mark for each aspect described in additional detail e.g. Unequal struggle between Royal Navy and Chinese junks. Both wars seem to be developed as a means to an end by GB; trumped up charges re confiscation of a boat and crew for second war; left GB with Hong Kong, unequal treaties and GB law for GB citizens in China. Left the way open for other western nations to try for a toe hold etc. [2–4]

Page 1	Page 17		Mark Scheme: Teachers' version		Syllabus	Paper
				IGCSE – May/June 2009	0470	04
(iii)	Leve	11	Single reasons. One for the reason, one for the explanation.			[1–2]
	Leve		Multiple reasons. One for each reason and one for each reason explained e.g. Continuing decline in Chinese military strength, aided and abetted by European domination over China; weak and isolated government and administration; divisions over Chinese policy making. Growing strength of Japan which had used western expertise and technology to improve armed forces. [2–6]			
(iv)	Leve		Simple assertions. Yes, the Western nations dominated China. [1]			[1]
	Leve	12	Explanation of harm OR lack of harm, single factors given e.g.			
		,	Yes	Europe kept the Chinese subjugated divisions in society and government; dominated trade profits; offered no he of missionaries?	exploited natural	resources and
		I	No	Much of the poor Chinese situation was system had been declining and riv systems and refusals to reform; China	en for a long tin	ne; antiquated
			Other	Europeans did influence a less hu Japan; Europeans accelerated decline	•	
	Leve		Explanation of harm OR lack of harm, multiple factors given. Allow single factors with multiple reasons.			
	O		Undeveloped suggestions on BOTH sides of the argument (annotate BBB Balanced but Brief).			nnotate BBB – [3–5]
	Leve		Answers that offer a balanced argument. BOTH sides of harm AND lack of harm must be addressed. [6			[6–8]